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Background

- Antiretroviral (ARV) interventions are effective at prevention of vertical transmission of HIV during pregnancy, delivery and breastfeeding.

- For HIV-positive mothers not yet requiring lifelong antiretroviral therapy (ART), the long term effects of interrupting triple ARVs on maternal health and survival remain unknown.
Interrupted versus continued ART for PMTCT
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Objectives

To assess the literature of maternal disease progression (clinical, immunological, virological) and maternal mortality in HIV-positive pregnant women who received interrupted triple ARVs for prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT).
Methods

• Assessed randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cohort studies (Cs) of
  - HIV-positive pregnant women who
  - received triple ARVs for PMTCT interrupted after pregnancy
  - or breastfeeding,
  - compared to women on cART,
  - for the effect on maternal mortality and disease progression
    • clinical: new WHO stage 3 or 4 events,
    • immunological: CD4<350,
    • virological: VL increase >0.5log
  - As there were limited RCTs addressing this question, observational cohorts that provided data on maternal mortality and disease progression by the three drug intervention groups were included.
Methods

• **Types of participants**
  HIV-positive pregnant women who were ART naïve in the current pregnancy, who were followed up for 6, 12, 18 or 24 months after delivery

• **Types of interventions**
  - Short course ARV (sd-NVP; ZDV dual therapy);
  - Triple ARVs (triple ARVs for PMTCT interrupted after delivery or breastfeeding); and
  - ARTs (lifelong HAART or continuous ART).
Methods

• **Types of outcome measures**
  - Primary outcomes at pre-intervention, birth, 6, 12, 18 or 24 months postpartum:
  - All cause maternal mortality;
  - Maternal CD4 count;
  - Maternal Viral Load; and
  - Maternal WHO clinical staging.
Methods

• **Search Strategy**
  - In September 2011, searches were conducted in 8 electronic databases. Abstracts from 5 conferences over the past 3 years were searched.
  - Hand searches were performed on reference lists of all pertinent reviews and experts were contacted to locate additional publications.
Methods

• Data collection and analysis
  - Search strategy following the Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook methodology
  - Titles of all appropriate abstracts and titles collected from electronic and hand searches entered into the Endnote
  - Irrelevant and duplicate texts and articles discarded
  - Standardised data extraction form used
  - Summary tables and risk of bias compiled
  - Heterogeneity assessment
Results

Electronic databases searched

- PubMed: 3295
- Embase: 2833
- CENTRAL: 252
- CDSR: 25
- AIRSLine: 182
- Popline: 516
- CINAHL: 243
- AIM: 19

Conference proceedings searched

- IAS 2011/2009: 2547
- CROI 2009-2011: 381
- AIDS 2010: 3476
- ICASA 2008: 84
Results

Flow chart for the screening process
## Results

### Risk of bias assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</th>
<th>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</th>
<th>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</th>
<th>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</th>
<th>Other bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Results

• Meta-analysis could not be conducted due to inter-trial heterogeneity in terms of drug interventions and outcome measures.

• Five studies (one RCTs and four Cs) were suggestive of increased maternal disease progression and mortality in interrupted ARV group versus cART; the remaining six studies showed no effect.
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design / Setting</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Immunologic</th>
<th>Virological</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kesho Bora</strong></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>AZT, 3TC, LPV/r until BF completed versus ZDV, sd-NVP</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Short ARV/delivery, % (n) 18 months: 13.7% (51)</td>
<td>18 months: 8.3% (30)</td>
<td>Triple ARV / end ARV prophylaxis 18 months: 13.1% (32)</td>
<td>Cumulative rates of disease progression 18 months after delivery were lower in the triple ARV versus short arm (log rank P = 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mma Bana</strong></td>
<td>RCT and cohort</td>
<td>AZT, ABC, 3TC vs AZT, 3TC, LPV/r or AZT, 3TC, NVP</td>
<td>Moderate risk</td>
<td>Maternal deaths, n (%) 24 months: Overall 14 (1.9%); Arm A 6 (2.1%); Arm B 3 (1.1%); Arm C 5 (2.9%)</td>
<td>Mean change in CD4+ (cells/mm³) 24 months: Overall +134; Arm A +68; Arm B +98; Arm C +283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rates of Progression to Stage 3 or CD4<350
Women with CD4>=350 at entry

Rate of progression from delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>18 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-ARV</td>
<td>(182) 12.0%</td>
<td>(151) 15.7%</td>
<td>(129) 24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple-ARV</td>
<td>(179) 2.9%</td>
<td>(162) 6.1%</td>
<td>(138) 10.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.002

Rate of progression from stopping ARV-prophylaxis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>18 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-ARV</td>
<td>(182) 12.0%</td>
<td>(151) 15.7%</td>
<td>(129) 24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple-ARV</td>
<td>(168) 3.7%</td>
<td>(152) 8.2%</td>
<td>(98) 9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.013

Kesho Bora Study group
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design / Setting</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Quality Assessment</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Immunological</th>
<th>Virological</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tungsiripat</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort/ Washington, US</td>
<td>ARV discontinued at delivery</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Median CD4+ count postpartum (643 cells/µl) did not differ significantly from baseline CD4+ count (550 cells/µl)</td>
<td>Median HIV-1 RNA levels postpartum (3.65 log_{10} copies/ml) did not differ significantly from baseline HIV-1 RNA level (3.63 log_{10} copies/ml)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTCT-Plus</td>
<td>Prospective cohort/ 8 African countries and Thailand</td>
<td>Sd-NVP or AZT/3TC or AZT,3TC, NVP or Nelfinivir</td>
<td>Moderate Risk</td>
<td>CD&lt;350 by 24 months</td>
<td>Triple ARV: 36.3%; sc-ARV: 21.5%; sd-NVP: 27.8%; No prophylaxis: 31.7% (p=0.017)</td>
<td>Women on Triple ARV more likely to require ART by 24 months than other groups HR 3.37 (95%CI 1.96 to 5.79, p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilotto</td>
<td>Prospective cohort/ Rio de Janeiro, Brazil</td>
<td>2 NRTI + PI or NNRTI interrupted at delivery</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>10 required ART during followup due to HIV disease progression CD4 higher than baseline at 12 months post partum</td>
<td>VL no different than baseline at 12 months post partum</td>
<td>20 developed WHO stage 2/3 events, 1 WHO Stage 4 event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavallo</td>
<td>Prospective cohort / urban Brazil</td>
<td>Prophylactic or therapeutic triple ARV or AZT</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Viral rebound at 6 months in 84.7%(n=50) of prophylaxis arm and 15.3%(n=9) of treatment arm p &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Study design/ Setting</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Quality Assessment</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Immunological</td>
<td>Virological</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Prospective cohort / multicentre U/S</td>
<td>Sc-ZDV or ARVs, or continued ART</td>
<td>Moderate risk</td>
<td>Rate of change of CD4 and VL post delivery not significantly different in interrupted vs continued. CDC class B events increased in interrupted vs continued arm HR 2.09 (95%CI 0.79-5.58, p=0.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palacios</td>
<td>Prospective cohort/ Sao Paulo, Brazil</td>
<td>Interrupted triple ARV, NRTI+NNRTI or PI</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>Median time to CD4 less than 300 = nil 198.1 weeks (95% CI 147.4-248.9)</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onen</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort/Washington, US</td>
<td>Discontinuation of ART by 3 months postpartum or continued ART</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>2 deaths in the interrupted group, 0 in the continued group</td>
<td>2 OIs in continued group and 10 OIs in the interrupted group (p&gt;0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Prospective cohort/ London</td>
<td>Sc-ZDV or ART or triple ARV</td>
<td>Moderate risk</td>
<td>Sc-AZT = 0 ART = 1 Triple ARV = 0</td>
<td>Median CD4 lowest in the Triple ARV group 397(55-940)</td>
<td>Median VL highest in the Triple ARV group 3.5(1.7-5.9)</td>
<td>Sc-AZT = 3 events ART=4 events Triple ARV= 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melekhi n</td>
<td>Prospective cohort/ Nashville, US</td>
<td>Discontinued ART &lt; 90 days post pregnancy event or continued ART</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Risk of AIDS defining event did not differ HR 0.58 (95%CI 0.14-2.33; p=0.44)</td>
<td>Risk of non-AIDS defining event lower in continued ART group HR 0.35 (95% CI 0.11-1.07; p=0.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

• These findings were suggestive of increased maternal mortality and disease progression in women who received interrupted triple ARVs compared to women on cART. More research is required to confirm this trend.

• Inclusion of Cs and inter-study heterogeneity increased bias in this study.

• Though inconclusive, these findings support the revision of WHO PMTCT guidelines to Option B+ with cART for life from pregnancy.

• Countries must consider their local context to decide on best option for implementation.
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